BEFORE THE 1 POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON 2 IN THE MATTER OF 3 WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES 4 PCHB No. 96 (WESTERN STATE HOSPITAL), 5 Appellant, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER 6 vs. PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY, 8 9 Respondent. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

This is the appeal of a \$100.00 civil penalty for an alleged violation of visual emission standards as contained in Section 9.03 of respondent Agency's Regulation I.

The matter came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board (Walt Woodward, hearing officer) as a continuation of an informal conference in a hearing conducted in the Tacoma law offices of Burkey, Marsico, Rovai & McGoffin at 1:30 p.m., May 15, 1972. Members of the Pollution Control Hearings Board in attendance were James T. Sheehy and Walt

Woodward. Appellant was represented by John H. Bright, Assistant

Attorney General. Respondent was represented by its counsel, Keith D.

McGoffin. Transcript of the proceedings was prepared by Shirley W.

4 Marshall, a Seattle court reporter.

After opposing counsel agreed that a hoped-for stipulated agreement was not possible to achieve, the proceedings assumed the status of a formal hearing. Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted.

On the basis of testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Pollution Control Hearings Board makes these

FINDINGS OF FACT

I.

12

On February 2, 1972, from the boller stacks of the Western State

Hospital, an institution operated by appellant Department at Fort

Stellacoom, Pierce County, there was a smoke emission for at least 13

consecutive minutes of No. 3 and No. 4 densities on the Ringelmann Chart.

II.

Section 9.03 of respondent Agency's Regulation I makes it unlawful for any person to cause an air contaminant emission longer than three minutes in any one hour of a density more than No. 2 on the Ringelmann Chart.

III.

Western State Hospital generally uses natural gas fuel, but employs coal on a standby basis when its contract supply of natural gas is curtailed. It was during one of these curtailment periods, when coal was being used as the fuel, that the February 2, 1972 stack emission

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

joccurred.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

IV.

Coal furnished Western State Hospital does not meet its contract specifications either in uniform size or moisture content. The coal contract calls for size 1-5/8"x3/32", but coal delivered under this contract to Western State Hospital, in fact, ranges in size from dust to 2½". The coal contract calls for moisture content not to exceed 13 percent, but the coal has been tested in excess of 17 percent moisture.

É

V.

Coal not of uniform size and of high moisture content can cause serious air contaminant stack emissions due to improper combustion.

From these findings, the Pollution Control Hearings Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS

I.

On February 2, 1972, at Western State Hospital, appellant Department was in violation of Section 9.03 of respondent Agency's Regulation I.

II.

Respondent Agency, charged with enforcing smoke emission regulations necessary to the public health, welfare and safety in Pierce County is interested chiefly in this matter in attaining compliance by Western State Hospital with respondent Agency's Regulation. It assessed the civil penalty under appeal herein as a means of achieving compliance and not with the objective, as a taxpayer-supported arm of government, in collecting a monetary penalty from another taxpayer-supported arm of government.

27 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER III.

Appellant Department, closely related to public health, welfare and safety, by virtue of its functions, likewise is anxious to be in compliance with smoke emission regulations necessary to public health, welfare and safety. The size and high moisture content of the coal used at Western State Hospital are obviously the cause of smoke emission violations, but appellant Department does not control the purchase of its fuels; this is done by another department of state government.

Therefore, the Pollution Control Hearings Board issues this

ORDER

The respondent Agency's Notice of Violation No. 5102 is sustained as a reasonable and proper action. Respondent Agency's assessment of \$100.00 civil penalty in connection therewith, likewise is sustained, provided, however, that respondent Agency shall cancel same upon receiving assurance that corrective action has been taken to prevent recurrent violations.

ADDENDA

The Pollution Control Hearings Board urges appellant Department to use these Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions and Order to impress upon the responsible department of state government that Western State Hospital and other state institutions should be supplied with coal of a size and quality that will prevent smoke emission violations.

As urgently as it knows how, the Pollution Control Hearings Board wishes to remind all affected governmental agencies that, they above all others, should be leaders, not violators, in this state's efforts to control pollution.

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER

20.

2 i

2.1

1	DONE at Olympia, Washington this 5th day of July, 1972.
2	POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD
3	Walther withelf
4	MATTHEW W. HILL, Chairman
5	Hall Woodward
6	WALT WOODWARD, Member
7	
8	JAMES T. SHEEHY, Member
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	,
21	
22	
23	
24	
- 5	
26	

5

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS AND ORDER